

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/088,801	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BRENNAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ZACHARY SKELDING	<b>Art Unit</b> 1644	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) ZACHARY SKELDING.

(2) CHARLES MERSEREAU.

**Date of Interview:** 3 March 2008

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)  
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☐ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**  
Rejection(s) discussed:  
  
Claims discussed:  
  
Prior art documents discussed:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Part II.**  
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Zachary Skelding/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed applicant provided email of March 3, 2008 which was requested by the Examiner. The Examiner and Applicant's representative agreed upon additional language to clarify claim 133 and to strike a typographical error from the preamble of claim 132, thereby putting the claims into condition for allowance.